Arun Kumar. M et al /J. Pharm. Sci. & Res. Vol. 15(3), 2023, 1060-1062

ISSN:0975-1459
Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences and Research
www.jpsr.pharmainfo.in

Oral Mucocele- A Review

Arun kummar.M 1*, V. Ebenezer 2 and D. Prakash 3
Sree Balaji Dental College and Hospital, Velachery Rd, VGP Rajesh Nagar, Pallikaranai, Chennai, Tamil Nadu 600100.
* arunkummar96@gmail.com

Abstract

Mucocele is a typical lesion of the oral mucosa that outcomes from a change of minor salivary glands due to a mucous collection. Mucocele includes mucin collection causing restricted swelling. Two histological sorts exist - extravasation and retention. Mucoceles can show up at any site of the oral mucosa where minor salivary glands are available. Diagnosis is mainly clinical; consequently, the anamnesis ought to be done effectively, searching for past injury. The most widely recognized area of the extravasation mucocele is the lower lip, while retention mucoceles can be found at some other site. Mucoceles can influence everyone, except most regularly youthful patients (20-30 years of age). Clinically they comprise of a delicate, somewhat blue and transparent cystic swelling which regularly settle immediately. Treatment every now and again includes careful removal. Never-theless miniature marsupialization, cryosurgery, steroid infusions and CO2 laser are additionally portrayed. Mucocele is a typical lesion and influence everyone. Hence we felt it would be intriguing audit the clinical qualities of mucoceles, and their treatment and advancement to help dynamic in day by day clinical practice

Keyword; Mucocle, oral mucocle, salivary gland lesion

INTRODUCTION:

Mucocele is a typical lesion of the oral mucosa that results from a modification of minor salivary glands due to a mucous secretion. Mucocele includes mucin secretion causing restricted swelling(1). Two sorts of mucocele can show up - extravasation and retention.Extravasation mucocele results from a wrecked salivary glands channel and the subsequent spillage into the delicate tissues around this gland. Retention mucocele shows up due to a diminishing or nonattendance of glandular discharge delivered by blockage of the salivary gland channels (2). When situated on the floor of the mouth these lesions are called ranulas in light of the fact that the aggravation looks like the cheeks of a frog (3). Mucocele is a typical lesion and influences the general populace. Therefore we felt it would be fascinating audit the clinical attributes of mucoceles, and their treatment and development to help decision making in day by day clinical practice.

ETIOPATHOLOGY:

Yamasoba et al. (4) feature two urgent etiological factors in mucoceles: traumatism and block of salivary glands channels. Bodily fluid is created solely by the minor salivary glands and is likewise the main substance discharged by the major sublingual salivary glands. Mucoceles can show up by an extravasation or a retention system.

Extravasation mucoceles are brought about by a spilling of liquid from encompassing tissue ducts or acini. This sort of mucocele is generally found on the minor salivary glands. Actual injury can cause a spillage of salivary emission into encompassing submucosal tissue. Irritation ends up being unmistakable due to stale mucous coming about because of extravasation (3). A study by Bagán et al. (1), considering 25 mucoceles experienced in everybody, showed that 5% were maintenance mucoceles while the other 95% were extravasation. They recommended that extravasation mucoceles go through three transformative stages. In the principal stage, mucous spills diffusely from the excretory channel into conjunctive tissues where a few leucocytes and histiocytes are found. Granulomas show up during the resorption stage due to histocytes, macrophages and giant multinucleated cells related with an unfamiliar body response. In the last stage connective cells structure a pseudocapsule without epithelium around the mucosa.Retention mucocele are shaped by enlargement of the channel optional to its impediment or brought about by a sialolith or thick mucosa. Most of retention cysts create in the ducts of the major salivary glands (3)

CLINICAL CHARACTERISTICS:

The occurrence of mucoceles is by and large high, 2.5 injuries per 1000 patients, oftentimes in the subsequent decade of life (5-7) and seldom among youngsters under one of year old enough. As per many examinations there is no distinction between genders (1, 4, 5, 8, 9). There is no clinical contrast between extravasation what's more, retention mucoceles. Mucoceles present a somewhat blue, delicate and transparent cystic swelling which as often as possible purposes immediately. The blue tone is brought about by vascular blockage, and tissular cyanosis of the tissue above and the aggregation of liquid underneath. Hue can likewise change contingent upon the size of the injury, vicinity to the surface and upper tissue flexibility (3,6,10). Lesion term isn't steady, from a couple of days to 3 years (4). Bagán et al. give an investigation of 25 patients experiencing mucoceles. 48% of the patients became mindful of their lesion on seeing it in spite of the fact that there were no manifestations. In the instance of one more 48 %, lesions were found by an expert by some coincidence. Just 4% patients had some undefined sensation of distress yet no genuine agony (1). Mucoceles of the minor salivary glands are once in a while bigger than 1.5 cm in measurement and are consistently shallow. Mucoceles found in more profound regions are generally bigger. Mucoceles can cause a curved enlarging relying upon the size and area, just as challenges in talking or biting (3). Mucoceles can show up at any site of the oral mucosa containing salivary glands (11). The two kinds of mucoceles are all the more usually found at various destinations. Extravasation mucoceles show up every now and again on the lower lip while retention mucoceles show

up at some other area of the oral cavity. The lower lip is the most continuous site for a mucocele as it is the most plausible spot for an lesion,particularly at premolar level. An investigation of 312 patients showed 230 injuries on the lower lip (73.7%), with the tongue as the second most normal area (15.4%) (9). These areas are trailed by the buccal mucosa what's more, sense of taste; and are seldom found in the retromolar area furthermore, back dorsal space of the tongue (12). Periodically mucoceles can include the glands of Blandin-Nuhn (3). These glands are situated on the muscle of the ventral side of the tongue; the histological analysis is consistently extravasation type, and regularly influencing youthful patients (3,5,11).

DIAGNOSIS:

Diagnosis is basically clinical; accordingly, the anamnesis ought to be done accurately, searching for past injury. The presence of mucoceles is pathognomonic (6, 10) and the accompanying information are critical: lesion area, history of lesion, quick appearance, varieties in size, somewhat blue tone and the consistency (11). Palpation can be useful for a right differential diagnosis. Lipomas and tumors of minor salivary glands present no variance while cysts, mucoceles, ulcer and hemangiomas do (5). Mucoceles are portable injuries with delicate and versatile consistency relying upon how much tis-sue is available over the lesion (6). Regardless of this vacillation, a depleted mucocele would not vary and a persistent mucocele with a created fibrosis would have less vacillation. A basic procedure known as fine needle aspiration biopsy (FNAB) is extremely useful, particularly when differential analysis of angiomatous lesion is involved. Plentiful mucosa without epithelial parts is found inside mucoceles just as numerous inflammatory cells, particularly histiocytes (13). A histopathologic study is essential to affirm the finding and to guarantee that glandular tissue is totally taken out. Two kinds of mucoceles exist: retention mucoceles and extravasation mucoceles. On account of retention mucoceles a blister cavity can be discovered, this is by and large obvious with an epithelial divider covered with a line of cuboidal or flat cells delivered from the excretory duct of the salivary glands (3). Contrasted with extravasation mucoceles, retention mucoceles show no fiery response and are valid cysts with an epithelial covering (5). Extravasation mucoceles are pseudocysts without characterized dividers. The extravasated mucous is encircled by a layer of inflammatory cells and afterward by a responsive granulation tissue comprised of fibroblasts brought about by an safe response Despite the fact that there is no epithelial covering around the mucosa, this is very much exemplified by the granulation tissue (2, 3, 5).

TREATMENT:

Customary treatment is ordinarily careful extirpation of the encompassing mucosa and glandular tissue down to the muscle layer. With a straightforward cut of the mucocele the substance would empty out yet the cysts would return when the lesion mends (8). There is no requirement for treatment if shallow extravasation mucoceles resolve unexpectedly. Little mucoceles can be taken out totally with the minimal glandular tissue before stitch. On account of bigger mucoceles, marsupialization would keep away from harm to essential constructions. Clinically there is no contrast between the two kinds of mucocele, and are hence treated in a similar way. All things considered when an obstacle of retention mucoceles is recognized treatment includes the eliminating the highest point of the growth and presenting a lacrimal catheter into the pipe to expand it (3). An investigation of 14 pediatric patients (14) portrays miniature marsupialization strategies with 85% achievement. The point of this strategy is to deplete the bodily fluid and decrease the size of the cyst.This strategy (after sterilization and sedation) comprises of passing thick silk string through the cyst at its biggest distance across and afterward making a careful knot. The suture is taken out following 7-10 days, enough time for the mucocele to vanish. This strategy has the upside of being straightforward, moderately easy and with least injury. A few examinations have detailed utilizing cryosurgery in treating mucoceles with empowering results (15, 16). In one study, 36 mucoceles were taken out utilizing cryosurgery furthermore, just 2 cysts returned (5.6%) (17). A few authors have likewise recommended utilizing intralesional steroid infusions (18). CO2 laser has a high water retention rate and is well consumed by all delicate tissues with high water content. Moreover its impacts on contiguous tissues are insignificant. These properties make CO2 laser the ideal careful treatment for oral delicate tissues (19). The cut is exact and doesn't influence the muscle layer, causes negligible discharge and practically no intense incendiary response. The activity time is short (3-5 minutes) making it an advantageous treatment for youngsters and patients who can't withstand long treatment (8,20). Huang et al. (8) in an investigation of 82 patients experiencing mucoceles on the lower lip treated with CO2 laser saw that 2 cysts a short time later and one patient experienced brief paraesthesia. In one more investigation of 68 patients, 30 were treated with CO2 laser, just a single cyst returned and there were no postoperative inconveniences. Conversely, because of the more forceful interaction, in the 38 cases eliminated by surgical tool there were 9 postoperative intricacies - an impermanent sedation in a 2.4 cm measurement mucocele found near the mental nerve, 3 instances of postoperative discharge and 5 patients with stringy scar tissue after typical mending (7). The central issue in keeping away from repeat is to kill the neighboring encompassing glandular acini and eliminating the cyst down to the muscle layer (8,20). Exceptional consideration ought to be taken to keep away from injury to the nearby glands furthermore, ducts while putting sutures as this is likewise a reason for return (3). As to repeat rates, in one review, 70 mucoceles were precisely taken out from the lower lip, and 2 cysts reappeared (2.8%) (4).

REFERENCES:

1. Bagán Sebastián JV, Silvestre Donat FJ, Peñarrocha Diago M, Milián Masanet MA. Clinico-pathological study of oral mucoceles. Av Odontoestomatol. 1990;6:389-91, 394-5.
2. Boneu-Bonet F, Vidal-Homs E, Maizcurrana-Tornil A, GonzálezLagunas J.Submaxillary gland mucocele: presentation of a case. Med Oral Patol Oral Cir Bucal. 2005;10:180-4.

3. Baurmash HD. Mucoceles and ranulas. J Oral Maxillofac Surg. 2003;61:369-78.
4. Yamasoba T, Tayama N, Syoji M, Fukuta M. Clinicostatistical study of lower lip mucoceles. Head Neck. 1990;12:316-20.
5. Guimarães MS, Hebling J, Filho VA, Santos LL, Vita TM, Costa CA.Extravasation mucocele involving the ventral surface of the tongue (glands of Blandin-Nuhn). Int J Paediatr Dent. 2006;16:435-9.29.
6. Bentley JM, Barankin B, Guenther LC. A review of common pediatric lip lesions: herpes simplex/recurrent herpes labialis, impetigo, mucoceles, and hemangiomas. Clin Pediatr (Phila). 2003;42:475-82.
7. Yagüe-García J, España-Tost AJ, Berini-Aytés L, Gay-Escoda C. Treatment of oral mucocele-scalpel versus CO2 laser. Med Oral Patol Oral Cir Bucal. 2009;14:e469-74
8. Huang IY, Chen CM, Kao YH, Worthington P. Treatment of mucocele of the lower lip with carbon dioxide laser. J Oral Maxillofac Surg.2007;65:855-8.
9 . De Camargo Moraes P, Bönecker M, Furuse C, Thomaz LA, Teixeira RG, de Araújo VC. Mucocele of the gland of Blandin-Nuhn: histological and clinical findings. Clin Oral Investig. 2009 ;13:351-3.
10. Tran TA, Parlette HL 3rd. Surgical pearl: removal of a large labial mucocele. J Am Acad Dermatol. 1999;40:760-2.
11. Andiran N, Sarikayalar F, Unal OF, Baydar DE, Ozaydin E. Mucocele of the anterior lingual salivary glands: from extravasation to an alarming mass with a benign course. Int J Pediatr Otorhinolaryngol. 2001;61:143-7.
12. Zancopé E, Pereira AC, Ribeiro-Rotta RF, Mendonça EF, Batista AC. Mucocele in posterior dorsal surface of tongue: an extremely rare location. J Oral Maxillofac Surg. 2009;67:1307-10.
13. Layfield LJ, Gopez EV. Cystic lesions of the salivary glands: cytologic features in fine-needle aspiration biopsies. Diagn Cytopathol. 2002;27:197-204.
14. Delbem AC, Cunha RF, Vieira AE, Ribeiro LL. Treatment of mucus retention phenomena in children by the micro-marsupialization technique: case reports. Pediatr Dent. 2000;22:155-8.
15. Marcushamer M, King DL, Ruano NS. Cryosurgery in the management of mucoceles in children. Pediatr Dent. 1997;19:292-3.
16. Gill D. Two simple treatments for lower lip mucocoeles. Australas J Dermatol. 1996;37:220. Erratum in: Australas J Dermatol 1997;38:104.
17. Yeh CJ.Simple cryosurgical treatment for oral lesions. Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg. 2000;29:212-6.
18. Luiz AC, Hiraki KR, Lemos CA Jr, Hirota SK, Migliari DA. Treatment of painful and recurrent oral mucoceles with a high-potency topical a corticosteroid: a case report. J Oral Maxillofac Surg. 2008;66:1737-9.
19. Pick RM, Colvard MD. Current status of lasers in soft tissue dental surgery. J Periodontol. 1993;64:589-602.
20. Kopp WK, St-Hilaire H. Mucosal preservation in the treatment of mucocele with CO2 laser. J Oral Maxillofac Surg. 2004;62:1559-61.