



DISCUSSION:

In this study, 85% of students reported that they followed cotton roll for isolation, 24% students reported that failure of cementation due to inadequate isolation, 33% of students reported that their patients complaints of unaesthetic, than discomfort and loss of retention hence proper material, shade selection have to be chosen during trial in. 52% of students reported proper isolation have to be done with suitable cement selection during recementation of prosthesis to prevent the debonding of the prosthesis. The results of this survey examined the perceptions and attitudes of dental students who are under practice were described. The data obtained in this survey confirm the clinical experiences of many restorative dentists. Most

patients desire functional, comfortable, and particularly, esthetic restorations. They are sensitive to changes that occur when provisional restorations are replaced by definitive crowns and fixed partial dentures. Tooth preparation and impression procedures are perceived as the most unpleasant steps in the treatment process. This data will enable dental students in resulting successful treatment.

CONCLUSION:

The data have implications for the overall treatment process. With an appreciation for the perceptions and attitudes of fixed prosthodontic patients, the restorative dentist is prepared more completely to provide satisfying restorations. Through increased awareness of dental students expectations and experiences, restorations can be planned, made and placed in harmony with the hard and soft oral tissues, and in harmony with the patient's expectations as well.

REFERENCES:

- 1) Jane.h sin, Dental Students' Perceptions of and Experiences with Prosthodontics: Ten Graduating Classes at One Institution, journal of dental education, June 25, 2014.
- 2) Cynthia.s Petrie, A Survey of U.S. Prosthodontists and Dental Schools on the Current Materials and Methods for Final Impressions for Complete Denture Prosthodontics, 14 December 2005.
- 3) Cortino sukotjo, Students' Perceptions of Prosthodontics in a PBL Hybrid Curriculum, journal of prosthodontics, 9 may 2008.
- 4) G.H. Gilbert, M.S. Litaker, D.J. Pihlstrom, C.W. Amundson, V.V. Gordan, Rubber dam use during routine operative dentistry procedures: findings from the Dental PBRN, oper. Dent., Volume 35, Issue 5, 2010, pp. 491-499 Sep-Oct doi: 10.2341/09-287C
- 5) M. Miettinen, B.J. Millar, A review of the success and failure characteristics of resin-bonded bridges, Br. Dent. J., Volume 215, 2013, pp. 76-77.
- 6) S.E. Smyrna the role of torque, torsion, and bending in prosthodontic failures, J. Pros. Dent., Volume 11, 1961, pp. 95-111
- 7) A.A. Ibrahim, D. Byrne, D.L. Hussey, N. Claffey, bond strengths of maxillary anterior base metal resin-bonded retainers with different thicknesses, J. Prosthet. Dent., Volume 78, 1997, pp. 281-285.
- 8) W.G. De Rijk, M. Wood, V.P. Thompson, Maximum likelihood estimates for the lifetime of bonded dental prostheses, J. Dent. Res., Volume 75, 1996, pp. 1700-1705.
- 9) D.B. Boyer, V.D. Williams, K.E. Thaer, G.E. Denehy, A.M. Diaz Arnold, Analysis of debond rates of resin-bonded prostheses, J. Dent. Res., Volume 72, 1993, pp. 1244-1248.
- 10) G. Audenino, G. Giannella, G.M. Morello, M. Ceccarelli, S. Carossa, F. Bass, Resin-bonded fixed partial dentures: ten-year follow-up, Int. J. Prosthodont., Volume 19, 2006, pp. 22-23.